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Abstract
This paper introduces the Ariadne Corpus Management System. First, the underlying data model is presented which enables users to represent and process heterogeneous data sets within a single, consistent framework. Secondly, a set of automatized procedures is described that offers assistance to researchers in various data-related use cases. Finally, an approach to easy yet powerful data retrieval is introduced in form of a specialised querying language for multimodal data.

1. Introduction
During the last decades, interdisciplinarity has become a central keyword in research. As a consequence, many concepts, theories and scientific methods get in contact with each other, resulting in many different strategies and variants of acquiring, structuring, and sharing data sets. See Table 1 for an overview of relevant data types used by a large research centre investigating dialogical communication. Notice the wide range of data formats. Such a spectrum of representation systems leads to a problem: Researchers regularly need to work with multiple software tools whose data formats are incompatible. While there are solutions of data conversion for a few combinations of data formats (e.g., integration of Praat transcriptions into ELAN annotation documents), this does not hold in general. As an example, body tracking data cannot be added to such a document, since the corresponding tracking software uses a custom data format which cannot be read by either Elan or Praat. This means that there is no single software system exists that can handle both data subsets simultaneously. The lack of software to fill that gap was a fundamental motivation for the design of the software system presented in this paper: The Ariadne Corpus Management System. It has been built around a generic model of dialogical events oriented at central scales. These provide an abstract model of the widespread data spectrum observable in dialogical communication. The data model contains a rich type system that helps to put dialogical events into a well-defined conceptual grid, thus ensuring that data can be handled uniformly in every case. For the various proprietary data formats required by different user groups, porting routines have been designed that map between custom data models and the equivalent data structure in the Ariadne model.

2. Demarcation from other tools
There is a variety of corpus management systems, each of them accomplishing a slightly different purpose. However, we did not find a project or application that met all conditions that we considered important for our research centre, namely:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MMAX2</th>
<th>Annex/Imdi</th>
<th>EXMARaLDA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>local</td>
<td>web-based</td>
<td>local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editing</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>narrow</td>
<td>wide</td>
<td>medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Key feature evaluation of some existing corpus management applications, namely MMAX2 (Müller and Strube, 2006), “Annex” and “Imdi Browser” (Wittenburg et al., 2002) and the ExmarAlda corpus manager (Schmidt, 2002; Schmidt and Wörner, 2005).

1. The software should be available instantly and at as many places as possible, so only web-based approaches were considered that did not require software installation or configuration. A plain web-browser should suffice (which is available at nearly every scientist’s desk).

2. Users should be enabled to modify and edit selected data and share their products and results with other users – with individuals as well as groups. In other words, the system should support user accounts and means of granting different privileges on selected resources to others.

3. The system should support many different data formats, by being as little restrictive as possible. The system should not be a specialist for one modality or structure. Instead, it should guarantee transfers and conversions between many different data subsets.

Table 2 gives an overview of how three of the most prominent tools meet these three requirements.

MMAX2 (Müller and Strube, 2006) is a tool whose focus is research related to anaphoric relations. It covers the annotation process as well as subsequent tasks (inter-annotator agreement, corpus assembly, etc.), but it is designed for (and restricted to) linguistic events that do not need linkage to explicit time points. In various multimodal settings, however, time and temporal
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Types of Data</th>
<th>Tools and Mechanisms Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speech</td>
<td>Orthographic transcriptions, phonetic transcriptions, selective markup of relevant keywords, syntax annotation</td>
<td>ELAN (Wittenburg et al., 2006), Praat (Boersma and Weenink, 2001), Anvil (Kipp, 2001), EXMARaLDA (Schmidt, 2002); custom XML-based formats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosody</td>
<td>Set of prosodic features</td>
<td>Praat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mimics</td>
<td>Markup of facial expressions</td>
<td>ELAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gesture</td>
<td>Type and components of gesture</td>
<td>ELAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Behaviour</td>
<td>Position and rotation of body limbs (in humans as well as artificial agents) and of critical objects</td>
<td>Custom format (XML and CSV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaze Behaviour</td>
<td>Eye tracking data</td>
<td>Custom format (XML and CSV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td>Annotation of action phases in restricted situations (e.g., during a map task game)</td>
<td>ELAN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Overview of the types and formats of scientific data sets that are currently supported and used inside the Ariadne Corpus Management System used in the Collaborative Research Centre “Alignment in Communication”.

agreement is vital. In addition, MMAX2 runs as a normal application working on data taken from the local file system. For distributed research groups like the one mentioned in Table 1, this approach has a set of disadvantages (covering merging distributed multiple partial annotations as well as the need for corpus-wide backup, etc.).

Annex and Imdi browser (Wittenburg et al., 2002), on the other hand, are web-based tools for the exploration of single annotation documents (Annex) as well as hierarchically organised corpora (Imdi browser). In this case, the second requirement is not met, since all operations are read-only, so users are not able to submit new data or changed subsets to the system.

The EXMARaLDA corpus manager (Schmidt, 2002; Schmidt and Wörner, 2005), has advantages and disadvantages that are similar to those of MMAX2 – is is running locally and was designed with dialogue data in mind. However, there is support for temporal information in annotations, making the system more flexible with respect to the modeling of temporal information.

These are not the only tools we investigated, but they are rather prominent examples of powerful corpus management tools. They can be optimal if used for the purpose they have been developed for, but each of them lacks at least one key feature we consider vital for our purposes. As a consequence, the Ariadne system has been developed. In the following section, its data model will be deduced from observations of typical data collection processes.

3. Data model

The overall structure of the data model is motivated by typical workflows in experimental research in the field of the humanities (cf. Figure 1, the items of the enumeration correspond to the main blocks in the figure).

1. Events in reality are transient, so a way of storing information about them is required.

2. They are recorded on media (typically, audio or video), thus forming a (possibly incomplete) image of reality.

3. Normally, next comes a dialogue transcription, or an annotation of events. Here, another process of mapping takes place: The mapping from media to their basal dimensions or scales (e.g., timelines or spatial coordinates). In general, clear and accurate data collection is possible only if all scales of a setting are properly identified and defined.

4. Based on this model, the process of transcribing or annotating primary data is merely a positioning of data chunks along these scales.

5. In case of annotation of secondary data, these chunks of data may not only refer to scales, but also to already defined data elements.

Ariadne’s data model (see Figure 2) allows the user the definition of multiple scales whose member elements are the reference points for all primary events. Such an event contains the actual information in a document, and it is mainly a collection of data elements together with a list of links to all other elements is is related to. There are different kinds of links, depending on the type of targets:

1. Scale links determine a position in the model of reality defined by these scales. These “positions” can take the form of singular points, of single intervals or of compound sets of these elements, which makes it possible to define complex structures like discontinuous events.

2. Event links refer to other events. These could be parents, predecessors, or co-referent elements.

3. Layer links define memberships of events in so-called layers. These layers group together elements of the same type. In software systems like Elan or Praat, data elements are visualised vertically according to their layer membership.
events from reality (get lost immediately after they occur)

recordings (uninterpreted, ‘raw’ sets of data)

scales (formalization of required dimensions in recordings)

transcription and annotation of primary events

transcription and annotation of secondary data

Figure 1: Workflow of different stages of data acquisition during the performance, recording, transcription and annotation of a study that typically occurs in experiments investigating dialogical communication.

(a) A simplified class diagram for an Ariadne document
Central elements are events with a set of links.

(b) An event (modeling the word “trees” uttered from seconds 0.3 to 0.4) as it is represented in the Ariadne data model:

This data model is sufficient for operations that go beyond human annotation of dialogical data. Several tasks in scientific workflows can be automatized, and in the following section, an apparatus for such tasks is presented in detail.

4. Data generation & enhancement

In scientific research dealing with experimental and/or corpus data, several tasks occur frequently, including

1. automatized data generation, e.g. by means of part-of-speech taggers, sense taggers, syntactic parsers;

2. the creation, application and modification of transcription and annotation schemas, in order to have resulting data match theoretical prerequisites;

3. the combination of human-generated data (like annotations or ratings) from multiple creators;

4. further processing and transformation of data (e.g., data sets as input for third-party software, or data in form of human-readable documents or as a graphical visualisation).

Ariadne provides a set of modules that have been designed for such tasks. To be concise, these modules assist users by automatising certain subtasks by providing modules and graphical user interfaces (GUIs), thus saving time and reducing the number of errors caused by humans.

4.1. Tagging and parsing of speech transcriptions

As an example of the integration of software modules into the Ariadne System, we outline the integration of the eTagger library (Gleim et al., 2009). It is a flexible part-of-speech tagger that has been trained on several German and English corpora. It has been attached to the Ariadne system, so it can be called to work on any Ariadne document that contains word form annotations. In addition, phenomena typical of spontaneous speech (hesitation signals, pauses or fragmented words) are filtered and marked during with the tagging process. The result can be loaded into
2. Learning of categorial information: See 4.3..

3. Auto-Correction of data according to a given schema: Since data generated by humans typically contains errors (e.g., typographical errors), it can be necessary to check data sets and filter out such errors. Ariadne provides a wizard that takes a schema (for example, in the form of a set of allowed values) and a data document and produces a corrected version by comparing each value against a set of valid values. If the value is not valid, the system calculates the nearest possible member with the aid of a similarity measurement (for strings, this could be the Levenshtein distance). The value is then replaced.

An example: Due to the lack of an explicit annotation scheme in a research project, some errors occurred in orthography and in the use of whitespace during annotation. 14.4% of all events contained errors. With the autocorrection GUI, all errors could be eliminated only by creating a list of the correct value items and handing it to the correction algorithm (cf. Figure 5).

4. Assistance in schema modification: Documents can be visualized in a special window where elements can be highlighted according to different rules. One possible use case is automatic markup of data that is regarded invalid according to a given schema. This can help users who want to align or redesign their schema to existing data sets.

5. Categorisation of data according to schemas: Simple mechanisms of data categorization can also be given in form of schemas. As an example, the markup and filtering of German hesitation signals has been defined in one central schema which (if needed) could then be applied to all documents inside the Ariadne system whose transcription approach is compatible.

6. Explicit schema definition: Of course, users can also import schemas directly into the system.

4.3. Export routines

There is a wide range of software that assists scientists in quantitative research, e.g., various machine learning systems, or statistical software. Since these tools often are rather extensive and complex, there is no integration or direct annexation of Ariadne to these systems. Instead, an interface for data formats has been designed. It permits a seamless and intuitive conversion of sets of dialogical data to a range of data lists that are readable by various software tools in the field of statistics or machine learning: With Ariadne, users can provide their statistical software with all data needed for computing, with just a few steps and selections in a special GUI. Again, the actual structure of input data is irrelevant as long as it can be imported into the Ariadne system.

5. Data retrieval

Finally, this section describes the prerequisites for a special query language for scale-related events as an additional part of Ariadne. Subsets of data can be retrieved matching descriptions formulated in that query language. These descriptions contain conditions regarding data values, related
search on dialogical and multimodal data. Numerous custom data formats can be imported and combined with each other, and data can be validated against given data definitions and restrictions. Furthermore, data can be grouped, queried and reorganised with the aid of specialised queries. Finally, export routines to various third-party software tools are provided in order to simplify further data processing and analysis.
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